## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE UTILITY CONSUMER ADVOCATES,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
V.	)	No.
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) ) )	
Respondents.	)	

### PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2342 and 2344 and Fed. R. App. P. Rule 15(a), the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"), on behalf of its members, hereby petitions this Court for review of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NASUCA is a voluntary association of 43 advocate offices in 41 states and the District of Columbia, incorporated in Florida as a non-profit corporation. NASUCA's members are designated by laws of their respective jurisdictions to represent the interests of utility consumers before state and federal regulators and in the courts. See, e.g., Ohio Rev. Code Chapter 4911; 71 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 309-4(a); Md. Pub. Util. Code Ann. § 2-205(b); Minn. Stat. § 8.33; D.C. Code Ann. § 34-804(d). Members operate independently from state utility commissions as advocates primarily for residential ratepayers. Some NASUCA member offices are separately established advocate organizations while others are divisions of larger state agencies (e.g., the state Attorney General's office). NASUCA's associate and affiliate members also serve utility consumers but are not created by state law or do not have statewide authority. See Attached Affidavit of Charles Acquard, NASUCA's Executive Director.

Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC's") Memorandum Opinion and Order, *In the Matter of Vonage Holdings Corporation Petition for Declaratory Ruling Concerning an Order of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission*, WC Docket No. 03-211, FCC 04-267 (rel. November 12, 2004) ("*Vonage Order*"). This Petition is therefore timely brought. 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.4(b), 1.103(b). Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2343.<sup>2</sup>

NASUCA fully participated in the underlying agency proceedings, filing both initial and reply comments therein.<sup>3</sup> In the *Vonage Order*, the FCC found that Vonage Holdings Corporation's ("Vonage") DigitalVoice service, which provides Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") service could not be separated into interstate and intrastate communications for compliance with Minnesota's telephone service regulations without negating federal policies and rules.<sup>4</sup> Based on its determination, the FCC preempted an order of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("Minnesota PUC") subjecting Vonage to the state's telephone service regulations and made it clear that, for "DigitalVoice and other IP-enabled services having the same capabilities ... comparable regulations of other states must likewise yield...." NASUCA petitions for review because portions of the FCC's *Vonage Order* exceed FCC jurisdiction and authority, are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The *Vonage Order* arose from the petition of Vonage Holdings Corporation requesting the FCC to preempt the action of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, which had asserted jurisdiction over Vonage's DigitalVoice services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> NASUCA's initial comments were filed with the FCC on October 27, 2003 and are available at http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native\_or\_pdf=pdf&id\_document=6515287457. NASUCA's reply comments were filed with the FCC on November 24, 2003 and are available at http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native\_or\_pdf=pdf&id\_document=6515291240.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The FCC declined to determine whether Vonage's VoIP service was either "telecommunications service" or "information service" under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. 47 U.S.C. §§ 151 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Vonage Order, ¶ 1.

arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, and otherwise contrary to law. NASUCA respectfully requests that this Court declare unlawful, vacate, enjoin, and set aside the *Vonage Order*.

NASUCA meets the requirements for standing under the associational standing rules set forth in *Warth v. Seldin*, 422 U.S. 490, 511 (1975) and *Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission*, 432 U.S. 333, 344-45 (1977). In *Warth*, the United States Supreme Court, addressing the issue of standing for a voluntary membership association, stated:

Even in the absence of injury to itself, an association may have standing solely as the representative of its members. ... The association must allege that its members, or any one of them, are suffering immediate or threatened injury as a result of the challenged action of the sort that would make out a justiciable case had the members themselves brought suit. ... So long as this can be established, and so long as the nature of the claim and of the relief sought does not make the individual participation of each injured party indispensable to proper resolution of the cause, the association may be an appropriate representative of its members, entitled to invoke the court's jurisdiction.<sup>6</sup>

In *Hunt*, which addressed whether a state agency had standing to appeal on behalf of the apple growers whose interests state law directed the state agency to protect, the Supreme Court cited *Warth* with approval, then expressed the rule succinctly:

[A]n association has standing to bring suit on behalf of its members when: (a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; (b) the interests it seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and (c) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Warth, 422 U.S. at 511.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hunt, 432 U.S. at 343.

In *Hunt*, the Supreme Court found that a state agency had standing to appeal because its constituents had the characteristics of members.

Here, NASUCA is a voluntary association of state agencies representing the interests of consumers pursuant to state law and satisfies the requirements of *Warth*. As required under *Warth*, NASUCA's members, pursuant to state law, represent the interests of retail consumers in their states who may be harmed because their state commissions -- under the FCC's decision -- are unable, for example, to determine whether a VoIP service is in the public interest or whether a VoIP service provider is managerially, financially or technically capable of providing service in the state.

The FCC's policy is a national policy setting forth a rule that affects all of NASUCA's members' interests. Indeed, the FCC explicitly stated that its decision goes beyond the company and the state involved in the case below:

For the reasons set forth above, we preempt the *Minnesota Vonage Order*. As a result, the Minnesota Commission may not require Vonage to comply with its certification, tariffing or other related requirements as conditions to offering DigitalVoice in that state. Moreover, for services having the same capabilities as DigitalVoice, the regulations of other states must likewise yield to important federal objectives. To the extent other entities, such as cable companies, provide VoIP services, we would preempt state regulation to an extent comparable to what we have done in this Order.<sup>8</sup>

The individual participation of any single office is not necessary to seek review of the FCC's decision in this Court.

NASUCA satisfies the first prong of *Hunt*. *Hunt* requires that the members of the association would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right. In addition to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Vonage Order, ¶ 46.

having authority to represent the interests of utility consumers in their respective jurisdictions, many NASUCA members also have the authority to represent these consumer interests before federal regulatory agencies and courts.<sup>9</sup>

NASUCA satisfies the second prong of *Hunt* as well. The second prong of *Hunt* requires that the interests the association seeks to represent are germane to the association's purpose. Article II of NASUCA's Articles of Incorporation and Article II of NASUCA's Constitution both provide that the purpose of the association is to "improve communication among members, to enhance their impact on public policy at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>See, e.g., 71 P.S. § 309-4(a) (1990), empowering the Pennsylvania Consumer Advocate to represent the interests of consumers before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, before similar federal regulatory commissions and before state and federal courts; W. Va. Code § 24-1-1(f)(2) (1997) and Public Service Commission of West Virginia General Order No. 195.3, Section A(5), Rule Establishing a Consumer Advocate Division, empowering the West Virginia Consumer Advocate to participate in matters affecting West Virginia consumers before federal utility regulatory commissions and federal courts; MD Public Utilities Code Ann. §2-205(b) (1999), empowering the Maryland Office of People's Counsel to participate in state and federal proceedings and in state and federal courts relating to utility rates for Maryland consumers; Minn. Stat. §8.33 (2003), empowering the Minnesota Attorney General to participate in federal proceedings relating to utility rates for Minnesota consumers; N.C. Gen. Stat. §62-20 (2004), N.C. Gen. Stat. §114-2 (2004), N.C. Gen. Stat. §75-9 (2004) empowering the North Carolina Attorney General to initiate proceedings before state and federal agencies and in state and federal courts to protect North Carolina utility consumers; D.C. Code Ann. §34-804 (2004), empowering the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia to represent the interests of utility consumers in proceedings before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, similar federal agencies and federal courts; 29 Del. C. §8808 (2004), empowering the Delaware Public Advocate to represent the interests of Delaware's utility consumers in proceedings before the Delaware Public Service Commission, similar federal agencies and state and federal courts; Conn. Gen. Stat. §16-2a (2003), empowering the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel to appear in and participate in any regulatory or judicial proceedings, federal or state, in which Connecticut consumer interests may be affected; Colo. Rev. Stat. §40-6.5-106(2.5) (2003), authorizing the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel to petition, initiate or seek to intervene in any proceeding before a federal agency which regulates utility rates or service, or federal court when the matter before such court or agency will affect rates or services for consumers of utility services within the state; Ala. Code §37-1-16 (2003), empowering the Alabama Attorney General to initiate proceedings before federal agencies and courts in matters affecting the interests of its citizens in utility issues; Iowa Code §475A.1-2 (2003), authorizing the Iowa Consumer Advocate to act as an attorney for Iowa consumers in proceedings before federal and state agencies and related judicial review proceedings and appeals related to utility issues; Ind. Code Ann. § 8-1-1.1-9.1(c)) (2004), empowering the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor to appear on behalf of Indiana ratepayers and the public in hearings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and in appeals from the orders of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission where consumer interests in utility issues are at stake; Tenn. Code Ann. §65-4-118 (2003) empowering the Tennessee Consumer Advocate Division to represent the interests of Tennessee consumers of public utilities services in any matter or proceeding before the authority or any other administrative, legislative or judicial body; and Ariz. Rev. Stat. §40-461, §40-462, §40-464 (2004), empowering the Arizona Residential Utility Consumer Office to represent the interests of residential utility consumers in regulatory proceedings.

the State and Federal levels, and otherwise to assist them in the representation of utility consumer interests." Article X of NASUCA's Constitution provides for the adoption of By-Laws. Article V of NASUCA's By-Laws authorizes NASUCA to "take positions in regulatory or judicial litigation, by majority vote, in behalf of the organization."

The interest here that NASUCA seeks to represent is the interest of its members in representing the consumers in their respective jurisdictions in ensuring that VoIP services are in the public interest. The consumers' interest is related to a matter of federal policy. This interest is identical to the purpose of NASUCA as set forth in its Article of Incorporation and its Constitution to represent its members' interests in matters of federal policy. In this appeal and in the proceeding before the FCC below, NASUCA's members authorized the association, by majority vote, to bring these actions on their behalf.

NASUCA also satisfies the third and final prong of the *Hunt* standards. The third prong of *Hunt* requires that neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the suit. The issue raised in this appeal by NASUCA involves an issue of federal policy that addresses the ability of consumers, throughout the Nation, who are represented by NASUCA's members, to be assured that VoIP service providers are capable of providing VoIP service and that the service sought to be provided is in the public interest. The relief sought, i.e., allowing state commissions to certify VoIP service providers and tariff VoIP services, is a national policy issue that does not require the participation of any individual NASUCA member.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Articles of Incorporation, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Inc., Art. II, Charter Number 752992 (on file with Florida Department of State), 17 Jun. 1980.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Article V, Section 3 (b) of the By-Laws of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Certified June, 1993.

Pursuit of this appeal by NASUCA on behalf of its members is significantly more efficient than requiring its forty-three members individually to intervene in administrative and judicial proceedings on behalf of consumers affected by the policies at issue in this casc. 12 Since NASUCA is authorized to take legal action on behalf of its members and the individual participation of any single member is not necessary to obtain the relief sought, NASUCA satisfies the third prong in Hunt and has standing to bring this appeal.

Respectfully submitted.

John A Perkins Consumer Advocate Craig Graziano Attorney Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate 310 Maple Street Des Moines, IA 50319-0063

Telephone: 515-281-5984 Facsimile: 515-242-6564

Janine Migden-Ostrander

Consumers' Counsel David C. Bergmann

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Chair, NASUCA Telecommunications Committee

Terry L. Etter

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Ohio Consumers' Counsel

10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800

Columbus, OH 43215-3485

Telephone: 614-466-8574

Facsimile: 614-466-8475

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Many NASUCA member offices are small and rely on NASUCA for representation in matters of federal policy.

NASUCA 8380 Colesville Road, Suite 101 Silver Spring, MD 20910 Phone (301) 589-6313 Fax (301) 589-6380

January 11, 2005

# IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE	)
UTILITY CONSUMER ADVOCATES,	)
Petitioner,	)
v.	) ) No.
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	) ) )
Respondents.	) )

#### CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 26.1 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, NASUCA submits the following corporate disclosure statement.

NASUCA is a non-profit corporation incorporated in the State of Florida, with offices at 8380 Colesville Road, Suite 101, Silver Spring, MD 20910. NASUCA is an association of 44 advocate offices in 42 states and the District of Columbia. NASUCA's members are designated by laws of their respective jurisdictions to represent the interests of utility consumers before state and federal regulators and in the courts. NASUCA member offices operate independently from the regulatory commissions in their states. Some are separately established utility advocate organizations, while others are divisions of larger departments, such as the Office of Attorney General. NASUCA associate and affiliate member offices also serve utility consumers, but have not been created by state law or do not have statewide authority.

Montgomery County

# **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Charles A. Acquard, do say and depose that the following statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

- 1. I am the Executive Director of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA").
- NASUCA is a non-profit corporation incorporated in the State of Florida in 1980. NASUCA is a voluntary association of 43 advocate offices in 41 states and the District of Columbia. NASUCA's full Members are designated by the laws of their respective jurisdictions to represent the interests of utility consumers before regulatory agencies and in courts. NASUCA member offices operate independently from the regulatory commissions in their states. Some are separately established utility advocate offices, while others are divisions of larger departments, such as their states' Offices of Attorney General. Additionally, NASUCA has several Associate and Affiliate member offices who also serve utility consumers, but who have not been established by state law or do not have statewide authority to represent consumers.
- In addition to having authority to represent the interests of utility consumers in their respective jurisdictions, many NASUCA members also have the authority to

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Pennsylvania, 71 P.S. § 309-4(a) (1990) empowering the Pennsylvania Consumer Advocate to represent the interests of consumers before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, before similar federal regulatory commissions and before state and federal courts; West Virginia, W. Va. Code § 24-1-1(f)(2) (1997) and Public Service Commission of West Virginia General Order No. 195.3, Section A(5), Rule Establishing a Consumer Advocate Division, empowering the West Virginia Consumer Advocate to participate in matters affecting West Virginia consumers before federal utility regulatory commissions and federal courts; Maryland, MD Public Utilities Code Ann. §2-205(b) (1999), empowering the Maryland Office of People's Counsel to participate in state and federal proceedings and in state and federal courts relating to utility rates for Maryland consumers; Minnesota, Minn. Stat. §8.33 (2003), empowering the Minnesota Attorney General to participate in federal proceedings relating to utility rates for Minnesota consumers; North Carolina, N.C. Gen. Stat. §62-20 (2004), N.C. Gen. Stat. §114-2 (2004), N.C. Gen. Stat. §75-9 (2004) empowering the North Carolina Attorney General to initiate proceedings before state and federal agencies and in state and federal courts to protect North Carolina utility consumers; District of Columbia, D.C. Code Ann. §34-804 (2004), empowering the Office of People's Counsel for the District of Columbia to represent the interests of utility consumers in proceedings before the DC Public Service Commission, similar federal agencies and federal courts; Delaware, 29 Del. C. §8808 (2004), empowering the Delaware Public Advocate to represent the interests of Delaware's utility consumers in proceedings before the Delaware Public Service Commission, similar federal agencies and state and federal courts; Connecticut, Conn. Gen. Stat. §16-2a (2003), empowering the Connecticut Office of Consumer counsel to appear in and participate in any regulatory or judicial proceedings, federal or state, in which Connecticut consumer interests may be affected; Colorado, Colo. Rev. Stat. §40-6.5-106(2.5) (2003), authorizing the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel to petition, initiate or seek to intervene in any proceeding before a federal agency which regulates utility rates or service, or federal court when the matter before such court or agency will affect rates or services for consumers of utility services within the state; Alabama, Ala. Code §37-1-16 (2003), empowering the Alabama Attorney General to initiate proceedings before federal agencies and courts in matters affecting the interests of its citizens in utility issues; Iowa, Iowa Code §475A.1-2 (2003), authorizing the Iowa Consumer Advocate to act as an attorney for Iowa consumers in proceedings before federal and state agencies and related judicial review proceedings and appeals related to utility issues; Indiana, Ind. Code Ann. § 8-1-1.1-9.1(c) (2004), empowering the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor to appear on behalf of Indiana ratepayers and the public in hearings before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and in appeals from the orders of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission where consumer interests in utility issues are at stake; Tennessee, Tenn. Code Ann. §65-4-118 (2003) empowering the Tennessee Consumer Advocate Division to represent the interests of Tennessee consumers of public utilities services in any matter or proceeding before the authority or any other administrative, legislative or judicial body; and Arizona, Ariz. Rev. Stat. §40-461, §40-462, §40-464 (2004), empowering the Arizona Residential Utility Consumer Office to represent the interests of residential utility consumers in regulatory proceedings. 78429.wpd

- 4. By filing this appeal, NASUCA seeks to represent the interests of its members in discharging their responsibilities to protect the interests of utility consumers in their respective jurisdictions.
- NASUCA, through its Constitution and its Articles of Incorporation, is empowered to act on behalf of its members and does not require the participation of individual member offices to participate in court proceedings. The Articles provide in part that: "The general purpose of this corporation shall be to improve communication among members, to enhance their impact on public policy at the State and Federal level, and otherwise to assist them in the representation of the utility consumer interests."

CHARLES A. ACQUARD Executive Director, NASUCA

Taken, sworn, and subscribed before me this

day of

, 2005.

ORA PARISH, JR.
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MARYLAND
My Commission Expires November 15, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Articles of Incorporation, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Inc., Art. II, Charter Number 752992 (on file with Florida Department of State), 17 Jun. 1980.

Respectfully submitted,

John R Perkins
Consumer Advocate
Craig Graziano
Attorney
lowa Office of Consumer Advocate
310 Maple Street
Des Moines, IA 50319-0063

Telephone: 515-281-5984 Facsimile: 515-242-6564

Janine Migden-Ostrander

Consumers' Counsel
David C. Bergmann

Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Chair, NASUCA Telecommunications Committee

Terry L. Etter

Assistant Consumers' Counsel Ohio Consumers' Counsel 10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3485 (614) 466-8574

FAX (614) 466-8475

NASUCA 8380 Colesville Road, Suite 101 Silver Spring, MD 20910 Phone (301) 589-6313 Fax (301) 589-6380

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE UTILITY CONSUMER ADVOCATES,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
ν.	) 1 (	No.
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) ) )	
Respondents.	)	

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John R. Perkins, do hereby certify that I caused a copy of the Petition For Review and Corporate Disclosure Statement of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates to be served by First Class Mail this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2005, on all parties on the attached service list.

John R. Perkins
Consumer Advocate
Iowa Office of Consumer Advocate
310 Maple Street
Des Moines, IA 50319-0063

#### SERVICE LIST

Dennis Ahlers Attorney General's Office 525 Park St., Suite 200 St. Paul, MN 55103 J. Jeffery Oxley Office of the General Counsel 730 Second Ave. S., Suite 1200 Minneapolis, MN 55402

John Rogovin FCC 445 12<sup>th</sup> St. SW, Room 8-A741 Washington, DC 20554 John Ashcroft Attorney General 10<sup>th</sup> St. & Constitution Ave. NW Washington, DC 20530

Catherine G. O'Sullivan Nancy Garrison US Department of Justice Antitrust Division, Appellate Section Patrick Henry Bldg. 601 D St. NW, Room 10535 Washington, DC 20530

Jeffrey Carlisle Bureau Chief FCC Wireline Competition Bureau 445 12<sup>th</sup> St., SW Washington, DC 20554

Inclusive Technologies, Temper Complex 37 Miriam Drive Matawan, NJ 07747 Paul Clement US Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave. Washington, DC 20530

Matthew Bennett Alliance for Public Technology 919 18<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20006 Robert Gurss Association of Public Safety Communications 1725 DeSales St. NW, Suite 808 Washington, DC 20036

Paul Hartman Beacon Telecommunications Advisors 8801 South Yale Ave., Suite 450 Tulsa, OK 74137 Albert Cinelli Robert Bye Cinergy Communications Company 8829 Bond St. Overland Park, KS 66214 John Jones CenturyTel Inc. 100 Century Park Dr. Monroe, LA 71203

Jeff Campbell
Technology and Communications Policy
Cisco Systems Inc.
601 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20004

Debbie Goldman George Kohl Communications Workers of America 501 Third St. NW Washington, DC 20001

Gregg Sayre
Frontier and Citizens Telephone Companies
180 South Clinton Ave.
Rochester, NY 14646

Jan Reimers ICORE Inc. 326 S. 2<sup>nd</sup> St. Emmaus, PA 18049

Staci Pies Federal Regulatory Affairs Level 3 Communications 8270 Greensboro Dr., Suite 900 McLean, VA 22102 Karen Brinkmann CenturyTel Inc. Latham & Watkins 555 11<sup>th</sup> St. NW Washington, DC 20004

Scott Blake Harris Maureen Flood Cisco Systems Inc. Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis 1200 18<sup>th</sup> St. NW Washington, DC 20036

Donald Elardo DJE Teleconsulting 9122 Potomac Ridge Rd. Great Falls, VA 22006

Ellen LeVine Public Utilities Commission of California 505 Van Ness Ave. San Francisco, CA 94102

David Lynch Iowa Utilities Board 350 Maple St. Des Moines, IA 50319

Nancy Pollock Metropolitan 911 Board 2099 University Ave. West St. Paul, MN 55104 Edward Garvey Minnesota Department of Commerce 85 7<sup>th</sup> Place East, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101

Mike Hatch Steve Alpert Minnesota Office of the Attorney General 445 Minnesota St., Suite 900 St. Paul, MN 55101

Michael Strand Montana Independent Telecommunications Box 5239 Helena, MT 59604

Jeanine Poltronieri Motorola Inc. 1350 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005

Qualex International The Portals, 445 12<sup>th</sup> St. SW Room CY-B402 Washington, DC 20554

L. Marie Guillory
Daniel Mitchell
National Telecommunications Cooperative
4121 Wilson Blvd., 10<sup>th</sup> Floor
Arlington, V A 22203

Richard Johnson Minnesota Independent Coalition Moss & Barnett 4800 Wells Fargo Center 90 South 7<sup>th</sup> St. Minneapolis, MN 55402

James Beutelspacher Minnesota Statewide 911 Program 658 Cedar St., Room 510 St. Paul, MN 55155

Geoffrey Feiss Montana Telecommunications Association 208 North Montana Ave., Suite 207 Helena, MT 59601

Wilkie Farr & Gallagher Motorola Inc. 1875 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

Richard Askoff National Exchange Carrier Assoc. 80 South Jefferson Rd. Whippany, NJ 07981

Dawn Jabloski Ryman Public Service Commission of New York Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223 Stuart Polikoff OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Steven Nourse Matthew Satterwhite PUCO 180 E. Broad St., 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbus, OH 43215

Thomas Fisher Jr.
Rural Iowa Independent Telephone
Whitfield & Eddy
317 Sixth Ave., Suite 1200
Des Moines, IA 50309

LeRoy Koppendrayer Minnesota Public Utilities Commission 121 Seventh Place East, Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 55101

John Harwood III SBC Communications Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M St. NW Washington, DC 20037

Rupaco Gonzalez, JR. The Gonzalez Law Firm PMB #17 8127 Mesa River, B206 Austin, TX 78759 Eric Branfman
PAETEC Communications
3000 K Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Sharon Devine Qwest Communications 607 14<sup>th</sup> St. NW, Suite 950 Washington, DC 20005

Christopher Heimann SBC Communications 1401 I Street NW Washington, DC 20005

Paul Feldman SureWest Communications Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth 1300 North 17<sup>th</sup> St., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, VA 22209

Thomas Jones Time Warner Wilkie Farr & Gallagher 1875 K St. NW Washington, DC 20006

Norina Moy Richard Johnke Sprint Corp. 401 9<sup>th</sup> St., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004 John Malcolm Deputy Assistant Attorney General 1875 K St. NW Washington, DC 20006

TCA Inc. 1465 Kelly Johnson Blvd. Suite 200 Colorado Springs, CO 80920

Patrick Kelly FBI J. Edgar Hoover Bldg. 935 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Room 7427 Washington, DC 20535

Indra Sehdev chalk US Telecom Assoc. 1401 H St. NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Jonathan Lee Competitive Telecommunications Assoc. 1900 M St. NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

John Goodman Verizon 1515 North Courthouse Rd., Suite 500 Arlington, VA 22201 Bruce Jacobs
The Voice on the Net Coalition
Shaw Pittman
2300 N. St., NW
Washington, DC 20037

Andrew Lipman USA DataNet Swedler Berlin Shereff Friedman 3000 K St. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

William Warinner Warinner, Gesinger & Assoc. 10561 Barkley St., Suite 550 Overland Park, KS 66212

Christy Kunin 8 X 8 Inc. Gray Cary Ware & Friedenrich 1625 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Robert Oenning Vonage Building 20 Camp Murray, WA 98430

Bryan Martin 8 X 8 Inc. 2445 Mission College Blvd. Santa Clara, CA 95954 Richard Whitt Worldcom dba MCI 1133 19<sup>th</sup> St. NW Washington, DC 20036 Janice Myles Wireline Competition Bureau FCC The Portals, 445 12<sup>th</sup> St. SW, Room 5-C327 Washington, DC 20554

Great Plains Communications, Inc. P O Box 500 Blair, NE 68008 General Communication, Inc. Att: Tina Pidgeon 1133 17th Street, N.W., Ste 410 Washington, DC 20036

Nebraska Public Service Commission Att: Gerald L. Vap 300 The Atrium 1200 N Street, P.O. Box 94927 Lincoln, NE 68509 -4927 Cox Communications
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC
Att: To-Puyen Truong
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036 -6802

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Att: James Bradford Ramsay 1101 Vermont Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005

National Cable & Telecommunications Association 1724 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 -1903

VON Coalition Harris, Wiltshire and Grannis, LLP Att: John T. Nakahata 1200 18th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Time Warner Inc. 800 Connecticut Ave. NW Washington, DC 20006

CTIA
Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP
Att: Adam D. Krinsky
2300 N Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20037

CTIA - The Wireless Association Att: Carolyn W. Brandon 1400 16th Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 Time Warner, Inc. Lampert & O'Connor, P.C. Att: Donna N. Lampert 1750 K St., N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006

Time Warner Inc.
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
Att: Henk Brands
1615 L Street, N.W.
Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20036 -5694

AT&T Corp. Att: Judy Sello One AT&T Way Room 3A229 Bedminster, NJ 07921 Verizon Att: Kathleen Grillo 1300 I Street, NW Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005

AT&T 1120 20th Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036 BellSouth 1133 21st Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036 -3351

National Consumers League 1701 K Street NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20006 National Cable & Telecommunications
Association
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky
and Popeo, P.C.
Att: Howard J. Symons
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

BellSouth Corporation Att: Richard M. Sbaratta Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, N. E. Atlanta, GA 30375 -0001 Microsoft Corporation Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis LLP Att: Scott Blake Harris 1200 Eighteenth Street, N.W. 12th Floor Washington, DC 20036 Public Policy of Cox Enterprise, Inc. Dow, Lohnes & Albertson Att: J.G. Harrington 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 -6802

Teletech Holdings, Inc. Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P. Att: Christopher W. Savage 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006 -3458

City Of Covina Att: Kevin Stapleton 125 East College Street Covina, CA 91723 -2199

Cbeyond Communications, LLC Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Att: Patrick J. Donovan 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC, DC 20007 -5116

PointOne Chadbourne & Parke LLP Att: Dana Frix 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 Verizon Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans, PLLC Att: Evan T. Leo 1615 M Street Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036

PacWest Telecom, Inc. and RCN Corporation Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Att: Ulises Pin 3000 K Street NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Idaho Public Utilities Commission Att: Paul Kjellander 472 West Washington Street Boise, ID 83702

Global Crossing Limited Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber Att: Alfred E. Mottur 1350 I Street, N.W, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20554

National Taxpayers Union 108 N Alfred St, Ste 300 Alexandria, VA 22314 Focal Communications Corporation Att: Richard J. Metzger 2230 Vermont Avenue NW Washington, DC 20005 Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP Att: Nancy J. Victory 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

MCI

Lawler, Metzger & Milkman, LLC Att: A. Renee Callahan 2001 K Street NW, Suite 802 Washington, DC 20006 U.S. Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation Att: Kevin Minsky 14800 Conference Center Drive, Ste. 300 Chantilly, VA 2015

Telecommunications Industry Association 2500 Wilson Blvd. Suite 300 Arlington, VA 22201

KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc. 1545 Route 206, Suite 300 Bedminster, NJ 07921

Fred Williamson & Associates, Inc. 2921 East 91<sup>st</sup> Street Suite #200 Tulsa, OK 74137-3355 US Datanet Kelley Drye & Warren LLP Att: Todd D. Daubert 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 -2423

Cablevision Systems Corp.
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
Att: Cherie R. Kiser
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

WorldCom, Inc. d/b/a MCI et al. Jenner & Block, LLC Att: Mark D. Schneider 601 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Suite 1200 South Washington, DC 20005 –3823

Miller & Van Eaton Att: Nicholas P. Miller 1155 Connecticut Avenue N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Allegiance Telecom/Time Warner Telecom Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP Att: Thomas Jones 1875 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 New York State Office of the Attorney General Att: Keith H. Gordon Telecommunications & Energy Bureau 120 Broadway New York, NY 10271 EarthLink, Inc. Sher & Blackwell Att: John W. Butler Suite 900 1850 M. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

AT&T Corp. Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

GVNW Consulting, Inc. 8050 SW Warm Springs Street, Suite 200 Tualatin, OR 97062

BellSouth Corporation Att: Theodore R. Kingsley Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375 -0001 NENA Miller & Van Eaton, P.L.L.C. Att: James R. Hobson 1155 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036 -4320

Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc. Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth Att: Lee G. Petro 1300 North 17th Street 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22209 National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors - NATOA Att: Libby Beaty 8405 Greensboro Drive Suite 800 McLean, VA 22102 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Att: Joseph K. Witmer P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17102 -3265 Michigan Public Service Commission Michigan Department of Attorney General, Public Service Division Att: Steven D. Hughey 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 15 Lansing, MI 48813

Jim Tobias 37 Miriam Drive Matawan, NJ 07747 Texas Coalition of Cities For Utility Issues Att: Clarence A. West 1201 Rio Grande, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78701

Oregon Telecommunications Association and Washington Independent Telephone Assoc. Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan Att: Richard A. Finnigan 2405 Evergreen Park Drive SW Suite B-I Olympia, WA 98502 -6000 Rural Independent Competitive Alliance -- RICA Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLC Att: Clifford Rohde 2120 L Street, NW, Ste. 520 Washington, DC 20037

USA DataNet 318 South Clinton Street Suite 502-503 Syracuse, NY 13202 Independent Telephone and Telecommunications Alliance Latham & Watkins Att: Karen Brinkmann 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004

The High Tech Broadband Coalition Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis, LLP Att: Scott Blake Harris and Maureen K. Flood 1200 18<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Minnesota Attorney General's Office Att: Jeanne Cochran and Mary McKinley 445 Minnesota Street, 900 NCL Tower St. Paul, MN 55101

Dr. Robert A. Collinge Department of Economics University of Texas at San Antonio San Antonio, TX 78249-0600 Rexas Coalition of Cities For Utility Issues Clarence A. West 1201 Rio Grande, Suite 200 Austin, TX 78701 Vonage Holdings Corporation Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Att: William B. Wilhelm, Jr. Washington Harbour 3000 K Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007 -5116